The Ghost in the Machine: AI, Streaming, and the Battle for Music's Soul

Introduction: Beyond The Velvet Sundown - The Silent Invasion of AI Music

The recent furor surrounding The Velvet Sundown, a seemingly AI-generated indie rock band that materialized out of the digital ether to rack up hundreds of thousands of streams, served as a stark cultural awakening.[1] For many, it was the first tangible proof that a musical act could be entirely fabricated by artificial intelligence, complete with synthetic band members and a phantom digital footprint, and still achieve a startling level of success on platforms like Spotify and Apple Music.[1] The story became a touchstone, a cautionary tale of digital deception that captivated and unsettled the public.

However, to frame The Velvet Sundown as a singular anomaly or a clever hoax is to miss the forest for the trees. The reality is far more pervasive and systemic. This one band is merely the visible tip of a vast, submerged iceberg of algorithmically generated content silently flooding the world's music streaming services. The scale of this infiltration is staggering. According to streaming service Deezer, as of April 2025, an estimated 18% of all content uploaded to its platform each day is generated by AI.[3] This amounts to over 20,000 new AI-created tracks appearing daily, a figure that doubled in just three months.[5] This is not a trickle; it is a deluge.

This silent invasion represents one of the most profound and multifaceted challenges the music industry has ever faced. It is a complex collision of technological progress, artistic ethics, legal ambiguity, and economic disruption. On one hand, the rise of powerful AI music generators promises to democratize creativity, offering new tools for expression to artists and amateurs alike.[6] On the other, it has unleashed a tidal wave of what has been bluntly termed "AI slop"—low-effort, mass-produced content designed not for artistic expression but for algorithmic exploitation.[1] This content threatens to devalue the very concept of human artistry, dilute the already strained royalty pools that sustain working musicians, and enable new, sophisticated forms of streaming fraud that siphon millions from the legitimate music economy.[4]

What is unfolding is a battle for the future of music's creative and economic soul. To

understand its contours, one must look beyond a single phantom band and examine the entire ecosystem that supports this new reality. This report will dissect this phenomenon in its entirety, moving from the phantom bands themselves to the digital "dream factories" that create them. It will then navigate the legal and economic reckoning currently underway in courtrooms and boardrooms, analyze the inconsistent and often contradictory responses of the streaming gatekeepers, and conclude by charting a potential path forward for a world where human and artificial creativity must learn to coexist.

Part I: The Phantom Bands - A Taxonomy of AI Artistry

The strategy of today's AI-generated musical artists is not simply to exist, but to mimic and infiltrate. They are the cultural equivalent of a spear-phishing attack. They do not forge new, avant-garde genres; instead, they create plausible facsimiles of existing ones—outlaw country, indie rock, ambient jazz—designed to trick the recommendation algorithms and unwitting listeners who passively consume playlists. Their generic names, derivative sounds, and reliance on familiar tropes are features, not bugs, engineered for seamless, unnoticed integration into the digital soundscape. This model is not based on building a genuine fan base but on deception and algorithmic exploitation, a crucial distinction from human artists, even those who are highly derivative. The very intent behind their creation marks a fundamental shift in what an "artist" can be.

From Hoax to Business Model

The case of The Velvet Sundown provides a perfect template for this new model of AI artistry. The "band" appeared suddenly on major streaming platforms like Spotify, Apple Music, and Deezer with no prior digital footprint.[1] Its purported members—Gabe Farrow, Lennie West, Milo Rains, and Orion 'Rio' Del Mar—were found to be non-existent, with no verifiable online presence before their music appeared.[1] The imagery associated with the band, including photos of its members, bore the tell-tale signs of AI generation, a fact later confirmed when representatives of the band itself contacted media outlets to complain about "impersonator accounts... publishing

fabricated statements and AI-generated imagery".[2] Despite these red flags, the band garnered enough streams to generate significant revenue, exposing a massive loophole in the streaming economy: a completely fake entity could successfully divert revenue away from real, working artists.[1]

Profiling the AI Underground

While The Velvet Sundown captured headlines, it is far from alone. A growing number of AI-generated or AI-suspected artists have achieved significant streaming numbers, often employing the same tactics of anonymity and genre mimicry. This phenomenon spans multiple genres, indicating a widespread, genre-agnostic strategy.

- **Aventhis:** This "outlaw-country" artist represents a new level of AI infiltration, having amassed over 1 million monthly listeners on Spotify.[11] An investigation by the tech company Uhmbrella revealed the extent of the AI involvement. An analysis of the artist's most-streamed track, "Mercy On My Grave" (with over 2.4 million listens), concluded it was 65.9% created by the AI tool Riffusion and 26.5% by Suno. A key red flag for Aventhis was its impossible productivity: all 57 tracks across its three albums were released in just four months and found to be majority-created by these AI tools.[11]
- **The Devil Inside:** Another act analyzed by Uhmbrella, The Devil Inside, demonstrates an even heavier reliance on a single AI tool. Its track "Dust and Thunder," which has over 800,000 streams, was found to be 99.654% created by Suno. Another track, "Riders of the Midnight Storm," was 98.567% generated by the same platform.[11]
- **"Highway Outlaws" and "Waterfront Wranglers":** These generically named country acts were uncovered by users on the r/CountryMusic subreddit, who identified a large cluster of seemingly fake bands with massive monthly listener counts.[8] These "artists" share common traits: zero original songs (they produce AI covers), bios that sound like they were written by ChatGPT, and no social media presence. Their success is built on a "stream-stealing scheme" where their tracks are inserted into popular, legitimate-sounding playlists like "summer country vibes" to rack up millions of listens through inauthentic engagement.[8]

This pattern is not confined to country music. Reports indicate that similar schemes have been operating for years in genres like ambient music, electronic music, and

jazz.[8] The blog

Metal Sucks also uncovered a network of scammy AI renditions of metalcore songs designed to "hijack" the pages of legitimate bands.[8]

The Mechanics of Infiltration: "AI Slop" and Algorithmic Gaming

The proliferation of these phantom bands is enabled by two key tactics: the mass production of "AI slop" and the strategic manipulation of streaming platforms. "AI slop" is a term used to describe the low-effort, often repetitive content generated by AI for the purpose of flooding digital spaces.[1] In music, this takes the form of a virtually endless supply of passable, genre-compliant tracks that can be produced for pennies.

This content is then used to game the system. One method involves "hijacking" the Spotify pages of real artists. Unauthorized users upload fake, AI-generated music under an established artist's name, a problem that has affected bands like Hiatus Kaiyote.[12] This creates confusion for fans and, more critically, can lead to the interception of royalties, diverting payments away from the legitimate artist.[12] The more common strategy, however, is the one employed by the likes of "Highway Outlaws"—algorithmic manipulation. By getting their AI-generated covers onto high-traffic playlists, these phantom bands exploit the passive listening habits of users and the recommendation engines that power these platforms, turning algorithmic discovery into a tool for revenue extraction.[8]

The following table provides a snapshot of these AI artists, illustrating the common patterns that define this new category of musical entity. It moves beyond a single case study to provide a clear, data-rich overview that substantiates the claim of a widespread and growing phenomenon.

Artist/Band Name	Genre	Reported Streaming Metrics	Suspected AI Tools	Key Identifying Characteristics
The Velvet Sundown	Indie Rock	900,000+ monthly listeners [1]	Suno, others [2]	No verifiable members; AI-generated

				imagery; sudden appearance with no digital history.
Aventhis	Outlaw Country	Over 1 million monthly listeners; 2.4M+ streams on one track [11]	Suno, Riffusion [11]	Prolific output (3 albums in 4 months); no verifiable creator; music confirmed by analysis to be AI-generated.
The Devil Inside	Rock/Metal	800,000+ streams on one track [11]	Suno [11]	Music confirmed by analysis to be over 99% AI-generated.
Highway Outlaws	Country (Covers)	Tens or hundreds of thousands of streams [8]	Unspecified (likely Suno/Udio)	Generic, ChatGPT-like bio; no original songs; no social media footprint; part of a larger "stream-stealing scam."
Waterfront Wranglers	Country (Covers)	Tens or hundreds of thousands of streams [8]	Unspecified (likely Suno/Udio)	Identical pattern to Highway Outlaws; part of a cluster of fake bands on curated playlists.

Part II: The Dream Factories - A Landscape of AI Music Generators

The explosion of AI-generated music is not happening in a vacuum. It is powered by a burgeoning and rapidly evolving ecosystem of AI music generation platforms. These are the digital "dream factories" that have lowered the barrier to music creation to

near zero, enabling anyone with an internet connection to produce everything from simple background loops to fully-formed songs with vocals and lyrics. This market is not a monolith; it is a diverse landscape of tools with different capabilities, target audiences, and, most importantly, fundamentally different ethical and legal foundations.

The AI music generator market is currently experiencing a significant bifurcation, creating two distinct philosophical and business models. On one side are the "disruptors" like Suno and Udio, whose business model appears to be predicated on training their models on vast, unlicensed datasets of copyrighted music. This approach has led to high-fidelity mimicry and, consequently, major legal battles with the music industry. On the other side are the "enablers" like Soundraw and AIVA, who explicitly market their use of licensed or proprietary training data. These platforms focus on providing creators with legally safe, royalty-free music or assistive tools, prioritizing legal compliance over the ability to perfectly imitate existing artists. This is not merely a difference in features; it is a fundamental ethical and legal fork in the road that is defining the entire industry.

Category 1: The All-in-One Songwriters (Text-to-Music)

This category represents the most disruptive force in AI music. These platforms can generate complete, often surprisingly realistic songs from simple text prompts, including vocals, lyrics, and complex instrumentation.

- **Suno:** Widely regarded as the market leader, Suno has been a driving force in bringing high-quality AI music to the masses.[14] Initially released in December 2023, it evolved from a text-to-speech model called "Bark" into a full-fledged song generator.[15] Its user-friendly web and mobile apps offer free daily credits, with affordable paid plans starting at around $8 per month for thousands more credits.[16] This accessibility has made it incredibly popular, but it is also the primary tool implicated in the creation of music by artists like Aventhis and The Devil Inside, and it is a central defendant in the lawsuits brought by the major record labels.[11]
- **Udio:** As Suno's main competitor, Udio offers a similar text-to-music experience, allowing users to generate songs from prompts or by providing an existing song as a style reference.[14] It also operates on a freemium model and has been praised for its modern interface and high-quality output.[16] Like Suno, Udio's success has

been shadowed by legal challenges; it is the other primary target in the RIAA's copyright infringement lawsuits.[11]

- **Riffusion:** Another key player in the text-to-music space, Riffusion was one of the first free AI music web applications, originally released in 2022.[14] It can generate instrumental music in various styles with an optional vocal layer based on user-provided lyrics.[14] It was identified as a tool used in conjunction with Suno to create the music of Aventhis, but, notably, has so far managed to avoid the high-profile lawsuits faced by its main competitors.[11]

Category 2: The Royalty-Free Workhorses

This category consists of platforms primarily geared towards content creators, filmmakers, and businesses who need custom, legally safe background music for their projects. Their business models are built on providing royalty-free licenses.

- **Boomy:** This platform is explicitly designed to "democratise music production," allowing users with no musical experience to generate original songs and, crucially, providing the option to submit them directly to major streaming platforms like Spotify and Apple Music to earn royalties.[20] With over 17 million songs generated on the platform, Boomy represents a direct and powerful pipeline for flooding streaming services with AI-created content.[21]
- **Soundful & Soundraw:** These platforms serve a similar market, offering AI-generated, royalty-free background music for videos, streams, and podcasts.[23] They differentiate themselves by emphasizing their legal safety. Soundraw, for instance, states that it uses real producers to create original beats in-house to train its AI, ensuring that "everything on the platform is born from our original content, not borrowed," and thus protecting users from copyright strikes.[25] Soundful likewise enables users to generate unlimited tracks and monetize them through various licensing tiers.[23]
- **ecrett music:** This tool simplifies the creation process further, allowing users to generate music by selecting a "Scene" (e.g., Travel, Workout), a "Mood" (e.g., Happy, Chill), and a length.[26] It offers unlimited creations and downloads for a low monthly fee and promises that users will get a different track every time, even with the same settings.[26]

Category 3: The Creative Co-Pilots

This category includes tools designed not to replace human artists but to assist them, integrating into existing creative workflows and acting as a collaborative partner.

- **AIVA (Artificial Intelligence Virtual Artist):** A pioneer in the field, AIVA was created in 2016 and specializes in classical and symphonic composition.[29] It gained legitimacy early on by becoming the first virtual composer to be recognized by a major music society, SACEM.[29] AIVA positions itself as a composition assistant, offering over 250 styles and allowing users on its Pro plan to own the full copyright of their compositions, providing a clear and legally sound framework for creators.[30]
- **Amper Music:** Acquired by the stock media giant Shutterstock, Amper was developed as a "Creative AI" to help users compose custom tracks by fusing music theory with AI innovation.[31] Its acquisition signals a move towards integrating AI composition tools into larger content creation platforms for professional use.[32]
- **DAW Integration and Specialized Tools:** The ecosystem also includes a variety of more specialized tools that integrate directly into a musician's workflow. Platforms like **Lemonaide** generate MIDI chord and melody compositions inside a Digital Audio Workstation (DAW).[14] Stem splitters like **Lalal.ai** use AI to separate vocals from instrumental tracks, a feature now used by Spotify for its karaoke function.[14] These tools represent a different, more collaborative vision for AI's role in music.

The following table provides a market map of these diverse platforms, demystifying the technology for creators and critics. It highlights the crucial differences in their intended use cases, features, and, most importantly, their approaches to copyright and licensing, which lie at the heart of the current industry conflict.

Platform Name	Primary Use Case	Key Features	Pricing Model	Copyright/Licensing Model
Suno	Text-to-Full Song	Text-to-music, lyrics generation, multiple genres	Freemium (daily credits), Subscriptions from $8/mo [16]	Legally contested; users grant Suno an irrevocable license [11]; sued by major labels

				for copyright infringement.[18]
Udio	Text-to-Full Song	Text-to-music, audio-to-audio style reference	Freemium (monthly credits), Subscriptions from $8/mo [16]	Legally contested; sued by major labels for copyright infringement.[18]
Boomy	Royalty-Free Music Generation & Distribution	AI song generation, direct upload to streaming platforms	Freemium, Subscriptions from $9.99/mo [20]	User can monetize on streaming platforms; commercial licenses available with paid plans.
Soundraw	Royalty-Free Background Music	Genre-blending, song customization, API access	Freemium, Subscriptions from ~$17/mo [25]	Royalty-free commercial license; explicitly states its AI is trained on proprietary, in-house content.[25]
AIVA	Creative Assistant / Symphonic Composition	250+ styles, MIDI editing, influence uploads	Freemium, Subscriptions from €11/mo [30]	Platform owns copyright on free plan; user owns full copyright on Pro plan (€33/mo); recognized by SACEM.[29]

Part III: The Reckoning - Copyright, Royalties, and The Legal Maelstrom

The unchecked proliferation of AI-generated music has ignited a legal and economic firestorm that is now raging through courtrooms, legislative bodies, and artist

communities. The core conflict stems from a single, foundational issue: the "original sin" of how the most powerful AI models were trained. This has created a de facto two-tiered system for AI music. On one side, music from platforms like Suno and Udio exists in a state of legal purgatory—potentially infringing at its very origin, uncopyrightable in its final output, and a magnet for high-stakes lawsuits. On the other, music from platforms like Soundraw and AIVA is designed to be legally "clean" and commercially safe. This distinction between platforms selling legal certainty and those selling legally fraught mimicry is the single most important factor for any creator or critic navigating this new landscape.

The Lawsuits Heard 'Round the World

The music industry's response to the threat of unlicensed AI has been swift and aggressive. In June 2024, the Recording Industry Association of America (RIAA), acting on behalf of the three major labels—Sony Music, Universal Music Group, and Warner Records—filed landmark lawsuits against Suno and Udio.[18] The core allegation is mass copyright infringement on an "almost unimaginable scale".[18] The lawsuits claim the AI companies copied the labels' copyrighted sound recordings without permission or compensation to train their models, and they are seeking statutory damages of up to $150,000 per infringed work.[33]

The AI companies' central defense rests on the legal doctrine of "fair use".[11] In court filings, both Suno and Udio have admitted to training their models on copyrighted recordings scraped from the open internet, but they argue this process is "transformative".[35] They contend that their systems create "intermediate" copies that are never heard by anyone and that the final musical outputs are, as a rule, non-infringing. They draw parallels to court-approved fair uses like search engines creating thumbnails of copyrighted images or software ingesting papers to detect plagiarism.[35]

However, this legal battle is not confined to corporate giants. A wave of class-action lawsuits has been filed by independent artists, such as country musician Tony Justice, who argue that they are the most harmed by this practice and are being excluded from any potential backroom deals between the major labels and the AI firms.[35] These lawsuits echo the majors' claims, arguing that training an AI model on copyrighted songs to create competing music in the same marketplace cannot be considered fair

use.[35]

The Copyright Conundrum: Can a Machine Be an Author?

Compounding the legal chaos is a fundamental question of intellectual property: can a work created by an AI be copyrighted? The U.S. Copyright Office has provided a clear, if challenging, answer: no. Works that are generated entirely by artificial intelligence are not eligible for copyright protection because they lack the requisite human authorship.[11]

This creates a significant legal gray area. The Copyright Office has stated that works containing *both* AI-generated content and human-authored material *may* be copyrightable, depending on the level of human input.[11] However, the threshold for "sufficient" human creativity is determined on a case-by-case basis, leaving creators in a state of profound uncertainty.[36] The Office has clarified that simply writing a detailed text prompt is not enough to qualify as human authorship.[37] This means a creator who uses Suno to generate a song may find they have no legal ownership over it and cannot stop others from using it. This legal vacuum renders much of the music being produced by these platforms commercially and artistically vulnerable.

The Economics of Dilution and Fraud

The economic consequences of this AI music flood are twofold, striking at both the legitimacy of royalty payments and the integrity of the streaming system itself.

First is the dilution of the royalty pool. Streaming platforms like Spotify operate on a pro-rata model, where a finite pool of subscription and ad revenue is divided among all rights holders based on their share of total streams.[9] Every stream directed to a low-cost, easily produced AI track—especially one created using infringing data—takes a fraction of a cent away from a human artist who has invested time, money, and creativity into their work.[9] This systemic siphoning of revenue is a central grievance of artist advocacy groups.[39]

Second, AI has enabled a far more sophisticated and harder-to-detect form of streaming fraud. The old method involved using bots to play a single song millions of

times, an anomaly that was relatively easy for platforms to spot.[4] The new paradigm, fueled by AI's ability to generate vast catalogs of music, involves creating hundreds of thousands of fake songs and then using bots to stream each one just a few thousand times. This approach generates royalties from each track but keeps the play counts low enough to fly under the radar of fraud detection systems.[4] Experts estimate this new form of artificial streaming is a "billion-dollar problem," draining a massive amount of revenue from the legitimate music industry annually.[4]

The Artist Pushback: A United Front

In response to these existential threats, the creative community has mobilized. Coalitions like the **Artist Rights Alliance (ARA)** and the **Human Artistry Campaign** have formed, uniting a broad spectrum of creators, from independent musicians to major industry bodies like the RIAA and the Recording Academy.[41] In April 2024, the ARA issued an open letter signed by over 200 prominent artists—including Billie Eilish, Nicki Minaj, Stevie Wonder, and Jon Bon Jovi—calling on AI developers and tech platforms to "cease the use of artificial intelligence (AI) to infringe upon and devalue the rights of human artists".[5]

Their advocacy is built on three core principles: **Consent, Compensation, and Credit**.[38] They argue that creators must have the right to decide if their work is used for AI training (Consent), that they must be paid fairly for any such use through a free-market licensing system (Compensation), and that they should be credited when their work is utilized (Credit).[38] This organized pushback is already yielding tangible results. In Tennessee, advocacy efforts contributed to the passage of the

ELVIS Act (Ensuring Likeness Voice and Image Security Act), a landmark law that strengthens protections for an individual's voice and likeness against unauthorized AI deepfakes and clones.[39] This legislation is now being considered as a model for federal and state laws across the country.

Part IV: The Gatekeepers' Dilemma - How Streaming Platforms are Responding

The world's major streaming platforms—Spotify, Apple Music, and YouTube—stand as the ultimate gatekeepers in the battle over AI music. They are the primary distribution channels, the arbiters of monetization, and the battleground where the conflicts over copyright, fraud, and artistic value play out. However, their responses have been inconsistent, fragmented, and often contradictory, revealing a deep-seated dilemma. Their policies appear to be a reflection not just of ethical considerations, but of their core business models, leading to a fractured and confusing landscape for artists and listeners alike.

The divergent approaches of Spotify, YouTube, and Deezer are not arbitrary. Spotify, a pure-play music streaming service, benefits from a vast catalog, as more content can fill more niche playlists and drive long-tail engagement. Its hesitancy to purge a significant portion of its uploads, even in the face of fraud risks, suggests a business calculation that mass content has value. YouTube, a video platform reliant on ad revenue, is more concerned with content quality and advertiser safety, giving it a direct financial incentive to demonetize low-effort "AI slop." Deezer, a smaller competitor, uses its proactive anti-AI stance as a key market differentiator, branding itself as the artist-friendly, human-curated alternative to appeal to discerning consumers. The platforms' responses are thus driven by strategic self-interest as much as by ethics, explaining the current lack of a unified industry approach.

Spotify: The Ambivalent Giant

Spotify's position on AI music can best be described as ambivalent. Officially, the platform permits AI-generated content as long as it does not violate other platform rules, most notably the deceptive content policy that prohibits the impersonation of human artists.[8] In a 2023 interview, CEO Daniel Ek drew a line between AI tools that "assist" artists, like auto-tune, which are allowed, and those that mimic or impersonate artists, which are not.[10]

This policy, however, contains a core contradiction. Spotify publicly states that it "invests heavily in detecting, preventing, and removing the royalty impact of artificial streaming".[10] Yet its open-door policy towards AI-generated music provides the very fuel—massive, cheap, and disposable catalogs—for the most effective new form of this fraud.[4] By allowing the floodgates to remain open, Spotify is inadvertently

facilitating the very problem it claims to be fighting. While the company has been clear that it forbids its own licensed content from being used to train AI models without permission, its platform remains a primary destination for music created by models trained elsewhere on infringing data.[45]

Apple Music: The Silent Partner

In contrast to Spotify's public-facing, if conflicted, stance, Apple Music has remained largely silent. Research reveals no clear, explicit public policy from Apple regarding the banning or even the labeling of AI-generated music.[2] This silence has allowed it to become a primary distribution channel for AI-generated hits, from the viral fake Drake and The Weeknd track "Heart on My Sleeve" to the catalog of The Velvet Sundown.[2]

The company's future plans seem to focus on integrating AI for its own purposes rather than policing its use by others. Reports suggest Apple is developing AI features for Apple Music, but primarily for algorithmic playlist generation and song recommendations, a move that would follow in Spotify's footsteps.[48] This indicates a strategic focus on using AI for curation and user experience, but it leaves the pressing questions about AI-generated content unanswered.

YouTube Music: The Monetization Gatekeeper

YouTube, with its deep roots in user-generated content and a mature monetization system, has taken a more proactive and defined stance. Recognizing the threat of "AI slop," the platform announced that starting in July 2025, it will demonetize "inauthentic," "mass-produced," and "repetitive" AI videos that lack significant human input or "transformative value".[49]

This policy directly targets the lowest-effort content, such as a static image paired with a fully AI-generated audio track, which clogs feeds and is unattractive to advertisers.[49] YouTube is not banning AI tools outright; rather, it is making human creativity a prerequisite for earning revenue. Creators can still use AI-assisted workflows, but they must add original commentary, creative direction, or other meaningful human contributions.[49] This approach is also shaped by the platform's

long-standing battle with copyright. The fact that purely AI-generated music cannot be copyrighted in the U.S. creates immense challenges for YouTube's Content ID system, making it difficult to manage claims and ownership.[37] However, creators using legally safe AI tools like Soundraw can still monetize their content, provided they follow platform guidelines and add their own creative value.[51]

Deezer: The Proactive Outlier

Among the major Western streaming services, Deezer has emerged as a proactive outlier. The French-based company has been aggressive in its efforts to confront the AI music flood head-on. It has developed and deployed its own AI detection tools specifically to identify and label AI-generated content on its platform.[1] It was this technology that first flagged the music of The Velvet Sundown as being AI-generated.[2]

Crucially, Deezer uses this detection capability not just for labeling but to actively filter AI-generated tracks from its algorithmic recommendations, such as its popular playlists.[5] This policy protects its subscribers from unwittingly listening to this content and serves as a clear, artist-friendly alternative to the more permissive approaches of Spotify and Apple. By taking a firm stance, Deezer is attempting to carve out a niche as the platform of choice for listeners and creators who value human artistry.

Conclusion: The New Duet - Charting a Course for Human-AI Collaboration

The music world has arrived at a profound inflection point. The silent invasion of AI-generated content, enabled by a new generation of astonishingly powerful tools, has moved beyond a technological curiosity to become a full-blown crisis. A deluge of synthetic music is infiltrating streaming platforms, creating a legal and economic maelstrom that the industry's gatekeepers are struggling, and in some cases are unwilling, to contain. The furor over a few phantom bands has exposed a systemic vulnerability, revealing a future where the very definitions of artistry, ownership, and

value are up for debate.

It is tempting to draw parallels to previous technological disruptions. The arrivals of the synthesizer, the sampler, and Auto-Tune were each met with fierce resistance, decried by purists as artificial, inauthentic, and a threat to "real" music.[52] Yet, each was eventually assimilated, becoming a powerful and legitimate tool in the creative arsenal of human artists. However, while these historical parallels are instructive, they fail to capture the unique nature of the present challenge. Generative AI differs from its technological predecessors in three crucial ways:

scale, autonomy, and origin. The sheer scale of production—tens of thousands of tracks per day—is orders of magnitude greater than anything that came before.[5] The autonomy of these systems, which can generate a complete song from a simple text prompt, represents a leap beyond assistive tools like Auto-Tune.[52] And most importantly, the legal "original sin" of training these models on vast libraries of potentially infringing copyrighted material creates a foundational problem that samplers and synthesizers never faced.[34]

The path forward is therefore not a simple choice between total rejection and uncritical acceptance. The future of music is not one of replacement, but of collaboration. The most productive and artistically interesting future is a hybrid model, a new kind of duet between human and machine.[55] In this model, the role of the human artist evolves. They become the

curator, the creative director, and the final arbiter of taste.[55] The human provides the essential ingredients that AI inherently lacks: intent, lived experience, emotional context, and a unique point of view.[59] AI, in turn, becomes a powerful creative partner—a tool to shatter writer's block, to rapidly prototype ideas, to generate novel harmonic variations, and to handle the laborious technical tasks of production, mixing, and mastering.[7]

Navigating this new terrain requires a conscious and critical approach from all participants in the musical ecosystem.

- **For Artists:** The immediate imperative is to understand the "ethical fork" in the AI market. They must recognize the profound legal and financial risks associated with tools trained on unlicensed data and make informed choices about the platforms they use. The most sustainable path is to use AI as an instrument for augmentation, not abdication, ensuring that their own creativity remains the driving force—the "sufficient human input" necessary to retain creative control and potential copyright.

- **For Listeners:** The age of passive consumption must give way to active, critical listening. Listeners should become aware of the tell-tale signs of "AI slop," question the algorithmic playlists that feed them an endless stream of generic content, and make a conscious effort to seek out and support human artists directly through album purchases, merchandise, and live shows.[63]
- **For the Industry:** It is clear that self-regulation by individual platforms is failing. A broad industry consensus is urgently needed, built on the principles of transparency and clear labeling of AI-generated content.[10] The legal system must provide definitive clarity on the application of fair use to AI training and the standards for copyright in human-AI co-creations. The framework of **Consent, Compensation, and Credit**, championed by the Artist Rights Alliance, provides a robust and equitable foundation.[38] It is a model that does not seek to stifle innovation but to ensure it proceeds in a way that respects and values the human creativity that sits at the very heart of music. This is the only way to ensure that the ghost in the machine serves as a muse, not an executioner.

Works cited

1. An "Indie Rock Band" That Appears to Be Entirely AI-Generated Is Making Alarming Amounts of Money on Spotify - Futurism, accessed July 14, 2025, https://futurism.com/ai-band-spotify-streams
2. Apple and Spotify are sleepwalking into an AI music crisis – and The Velvet Sundown mess shows they need to act fast | TechRadar, accessed July 14, 2025, https://www.techradar.com/computing/artificial-intelligence/apple-and-spotify-are-sleepwalking-into-an-ai-music-crisis-and-the-velvet-sundown-mess-shows-they-need-to-act-fast
3. www.wipo.int, accessed July 14, 2025, https://www.wipo.int/web/wipo-magazine/articles/how-ai-generated-songs-are-fueling-the-rise-of-streaming-farms-74310#:~:text=In%20April%202025%2C%20streaming%20platform.That's%20about%2020%2C000%20tracks.
4. How AI-generated songs are fueling the rise of streaming farms - WIPO, accessed July 14, 2025, https://www.wipo.int/web/wipo-magazine/articles/how-ai-generated-songs-are-fueling-the-rise-of-streaming-farms-74310
5. AI music invasion: 20K bot-created tracks uploaded to Deezer each DAY, accessed July 14, 2025, https://www.musicbusinessworldwide.com/ai-music-invasion-doubles-on-deezer-20000-bot-created-tracks-now-uploaded-each-day-to-streaming-platform/
6. With Advancements in Technology, is AI Really a Threat to Human Composers?, accessed July 14, 2025, https://icareifyoulisten.com/2024/07/is-ai-really-a-threat-to-human-composers/
7. AI and Its Influence on Music Creation: The Future of Sound - Substream Magazine, accessed July 14, 2025,

https://substreammagazine.com/2025/03/ai-and-its-influence-on-music-creation-the-future-of-sound/
8. Spotify Is Filling Up With AI-Generated Music to Scam Revenue ..., accessed July 14, 2025, https://futurism.com/the-byte/spotify-ai-music
9. Musicians fight uphill battle as AI infiltrates streaming platforms, cutting into royalties - CBC, accessed July 14, 2025, https://www.cbc.ca/news/entertainment/musicians-ai-bands-streaming-1.7581400
10. It's time for streaming services to act on AI music - Music Business Worldwide, accessed July 14, 2025, https://www.musicbusinessworldwide.com/its-time-for-streaming-services-to-act-on-ai-music/
11. That AI artist with over 1M listeners on Spotify? His music was ..., accessed July 14, 2025, https://www.musicbusinessworldwide.com/that-ai-artist-with-over-1m-listeners-on-spotify-his-music-was-created-with-suno-says-expert-report/
12. Spotify's Artist Pages HIJACKED With Fake AI Music - YouTube, accessed July 14, 2025, https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=S3INQJiBMr4
13. AN AI band has 900000 monthly listeners - EN World, accessed July 14, 2025, https://www.enworld.org/threads/an-ai-band-has-900-000-monthly-listeners.714173/
14. Best AI Music Generator Software in 2025 - AudioCipher, accessed July 14, 2025, https://www.audiocipher.com/post/ai-music-app
15. en.wikipedia.org, accessed July 14, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Suno_AI
16. Top 10 AI Music Generators to Try in 2025 - The Future of Music is Here - Kripesh Adwani, accessed July 14, 2025, https://kripeshadwani.com/ai-music-generators/
17. Suno - AI Songs & Music Maker - App Store, accessed July 14, 2025, https://apps.apple.com/us/app/suno-ai-songs-music-maker/id6480136315
18. "The further devaluation of music." Major record labels are working out deals with AI firms. Where does that leave musicians and songwriters? | GuitarPlayer, accessed July 14, 2025, https://www.guitarplayer.com/music/universal-song-suno-udio-and-the-future-of-ai-in-music
19. Udio | AI Music Generator - Official Website, accessed July 14, 2025, https://www.udio.com/
20. What is Boomy? Everything we know about the AI music maker ..., accessed July 14, 2025, https://www.techradar.com/pro/what-is-boomy-everything-we-know-about-the-ai-music-maker
21. Boomy - Bring Out Your Creativity and Generate Original Music - b12.io, accessed July 14, 2025, https://www.b12.io/ai-directory/boomy/
22. How to Make Viral Music Tracks in Minutes Using Boomy AI | Beginner-Friendly Tutorial!, accessed July 14, 2025, https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=T6Xsh0I8Lvl
23. Soundful | Soundful is an AI Music Generator platfo - Welcome AI, accessed July 14, 2025, https://welcome.ai/solution/soundful

24. Soundful: #1 AI Music Studio - AI Music Generator for Creators, accessed July 14, 2025, https://soundful.com/
25. AI Music Generator SOUNDRAW, accessed July 14, 2025, https://soundraw.io/
26. Ecrett Music uses AI to generate royalty free music for your videos - Videomaker, accessed July 14, 2025, https://www.videomaker.com/news/ecrett-music-uses-ai-to-generate-royalty-free-music-for-your-videos/
27. ecrett music: AI-Generated Royalty-Free Music, accessed July 14, 2025, https://theaireports.com/music/ecrett-music/
28. Ecrett Music - AI Marketplace - Bot Memo, accessed July 14, 2025, https://botmemo.com/ai/ecrett-music/
29. AIVA - Wikipedia, accessed July 14, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/AIVA
30. AIVA, the AI Music Generation Assistant, accessed July 14, 2025, https://www.aiva.ai/
31. Amper - Artificial Intelligence Inside Music Composition - Fantasy.co, accessed July 14, 2025, https://fantasy.co/work/amper
32. Amper | MUSIC FOR YOUR DEFINING MOMENT - Welcome AI, accessed July 14, 2025, https://welcome.ai/solution/amper
33. Record Labels Sue Suno and Udio Over AI-Generated Music : r/ChatGPT - Reddit, accessed July 14, 2025, https://www.reddit.com/r/ChatGPT/comments/1dni4az/record_labels_sue_suno_and_udio_over_aigenerated/
34. Record Labels sue AI Platforms making Music: Universal, Sony, Warner v/s Suno, Udio., accessed July 14, 2025, https://iprmentlaw.com/2024/07/13/record-labels-sue-ai-platforms-making-music-udio-v-universal-sony-warner/
35. Suno and Udio hit with class action lawsuits from independent artist ..., accessed July 14, 2025, https://www.musicbusinessworldwide.com/suno-and-udio-hit-with-class-action-lawsuits-from-independent-artists/
36. The Wild West of AI-Generated Music: What Rules Rule? - Romano Law, accessed July 14, 2025, https://www.romanolaw.com/the-wild-west-of-ai-generated-music-what-rules-rule/
37. For those that used AI generated music - I tried to warn you : r/NewTubers - Reddit, accessed July 14, 2025, https://www.reddit.com/r/NewTubers/comments/1iizmf8/for_those_that_used_ai_generated_music_i_tried_to/
38. artificial intelligence, technology, royalties, music, songwriting, composing - ASCAP & AI, accessed July 14, 2025, https://www.ascap.com/music-creators/artificial-intelligence
39. 200+ ARTISTS CALL ON AI DEVELOPERS, TECH PLATFORMS ..., accessed July 14, 2025, https://artistrightsnow.medium.com/200-artists-call-on-ai-developers-tech-platforms-not-to-devalue-music-and-undermine-artists-2727e17bc10a

40. Artist Rights Alliance Addresses AI Developers & More In Open Letter - MusicRow.com, accessed July 14, 2025, https://musicrow.com/2024/04/artist-rights-alliance-addresses-ai-developers-more-in-open-letter/
41. RIAA and over 30 other groups launch AI campaign to support human creativity, accessed July 14, 2025, https://www.musicbusinessworldwide.com/riaa-and-over-30-other-groups-launch-ai-campaign-to-support-human-creativity/
42. AI Updates - Artists Rights Society, accessed July 14, 2025, https://arsny.com/ai-updates/
43. Artist Rights Alliance, Annie Lennox Speak Out with Ban Deepfakes Campaign, accessed July 14, 2025, https://futureoflife.org/press-release/ara-and-annie-lennox-speak-out-on-deepfakes/
44. Can I upload AI generated music to Spotify if it is in no direct relation t, accessed July 14, 2025, https://community.spotify.com/t5/Content-Questions/Can-I-upload-AI-generated-music-to-Spotify-if-it-is-in-no-direct/td-p/6013212
45. AI Music on Spotify: Everything We Know So Far | LANDR Blog, accessed July 14, 2025, https://blog.landr.com/ai-music-on-spotify/
46. AI Content Rules: YouTube, Spotify & Audible 2025 - Descript, accessed July 14, 2025, https://www.descript.com/blog/article/ai-content-on-youtube-spotify-audible
47. UMG Says AI Generated Music On Apple Music And Spotify Violates Copyright - BeatCurry, accessed July 14, 2025, https://www.beatcurry.com/umg-says-ai-generated-music-on-apple-music-and-spotify-violates-copyright/
48. AI-powered Apple Music renovation will probably generate playlists for you - AppleInsider, accessed July 14, 2025, https://appleinsider.com/articles/25/06/09/ai-powered-apple-music-renovation-will-probably-generate-playlists-for-you
49. YouTube is Finally Demonetizing Inauthentic AI Music and Videos - MIDNIGHT REBELS, accessed July 14, 2025, https://midnightrebels.com/youtube-is-finally-demonetizing-inauthentic-ai-music-and-videos/
50. YouTube Will Not Monetize Content Featuring AI-Generated Videos from July 15, 2025 - PG Music Forums, accessed July 14, 2025, https://www.pgmusic.com/forums/ubbthreads.php?ubb=showflat&Number=857234
51. Can You Monetize AI-Generated Music on YouTube? A Complete Guide - Soundraw, accessed July 14, 2025, https://soundraw.io/blog/post/can-you-monetize-ai-generated-music-on-youtube
52. Is AI just today's synthesizer? : r/aiwars - Reddit, accessed July 14, 2025, https://www.reddit.com/r/aiwars/comments/1dm4nwd/is_ai_just_todays_synthesiz

er/
53. The Battle for Perfection: AI vs. Sampling | by Jawara Gordon | Medium, accessed July 14, 2025, https://medium.com/@jawaragordon/the-battle-for-perfection-ai-vs-sampling-500e9d773a2b
54. Is AI bad for music or is it just another step in the auto-tune timeline? | TechRadar, accessed July 14, 2025, https://www.techradar.com/computing/artificial-intelligence/is-ai-bad-for-music-or-is-it-just-another-step-in-the-auto-tune-timeline
55. Human-AI Collaboration Insights from Music Composition, accessed July 14, 2025, https://iris.unito.it/retrieve/fd062785-090c-4058-8098-17427854af31/2024-CHI.pdf
56. How Humans Collaborate with Generative AI in Music? - MyNextDeveloper, accessed July 14, 2025, https://mynextdeveloper.com/blogs/humans-collaborate-with-generative-ai-in-music/
57. Artificial Intelligence: Transforming the Music Industry with Revolutionary Creativity and Collaboration - Mischa Dohler, accessed July 14, 2025, https://mischadohler.com/artificial-intelligence-transforming-the-music-industry-with-revolutionary-creativity-and-collaboration/
58. Music Composition as a Lens for Understanding Human-AI Collaboration - CEUR-WS.org, accessed July 14, 2025, https://ceur-ws.org/Vol-3701/paper6.pdf
59. How is AI shaping the future of music? | M Magazine - PRS for Music, accessed July 14, 2025, https://www.prsformusic.com/m-magazine/features/how-is-ai-shaping-the-future-of-music
60. The Future of AI-Generated Music - Young Composers, accessed July 14, 2025, https://www.youngcomposers.com/t45733/the-future-of-ai-generated-music/
61. The Truth About How AI Is Changing The Music Industry (2025) - Unison Audio, accessed July 14, 2025, https://unison.audio/how-ai-is-changing-the-music-industry/
62. The Future of AI in Audio Production | Enhancement or Replacement? - SAE Institute, accessed July 14, 2025, https://www.sae.edu/gbr/insights/the-future-of-ai-in-audio-production-enhancement-or-replacement/
63. With the rise of AI generated music and services like Spotify making a deliberate effort to push more AI music, what's the best way to verify if an artist is real or not? : r/LetsTalkMusic - Reddit, accessed July 14, 2025, https://www.reddit.com/r/LetsTalkMusic/comments/1lmt4tc/with_the_rise_of_ai_generated_music_and_services/